

WORKFLOW LAB / INTERACTIVE DEMONSTRATION

RevisionMap

Follow a policy change through its dependent documents

A step-by-step guide with genuine colour screenshots captured from the working browser interface.

Fictional business: Juniper Cafe Group | Customer refund procedure / revision 8

Designed for: Franchises, training providers and operations teams

Test outcome: 4 conflict findings / 0 clean findings / 1 missing-data finding

What works in this release

Editable structured records, local checks, evidence references, accepted or dismissed findings, review notes, browser-local persistence and report exports.

Live LLM analysis, PDF/image extraction and external integrations are not connected. These are test prototypes, not a production AI service. Screenshots show real interactions with fictional records.

Open your private test workspace from the link supplied with this guide. Product information: <https://richcom.software/revisionmap/>

STEP 01

Load a policy and its document register

Open RevisionMap and choose Load conflict scenario. The example changes a return window from 14 days to 30 days and includes a FAQ, an induction checklist, a till guide and an unrelated opening checklist.

The policy record defines the old value, new value and topic. Document records contain topic tags, wording and an owner. Use the JSON editor and Save records to test another change.

richcom

Workflow Lab

YOUR WORKSPACES

Overview

ApprovalTrail

RevisionMap

EventReady

Transparent by design.

Fictional sample businesses.

Local, deterministic checks. No live LLM or external messages.

Records stay in this browser.

Use sample data only.

BUILT FOR BETTER DECISIONS

Interactive test environment

JUNIPER CAFÉ GROUP / DEMO WORKSPACE

RevisionMap

RM

See which documents need attention when a procedure changes.

Customer refund procedure / revision 8 · Sample scenario. Checks run locally; live AI and integrations are not connected.

Load conflict scenarioLoad clean scenarioLoad missing-data scenarioRun test suiteRun checks →

5Linked documents

—Findings awaiting review

0Accepted actions

01 · Source records

02 · Review findings

03 · Export report

JUNIPER CAFÉ GROUP

Policy and dependent documents

POL-08

refund_window

Approved change: unopened purchases can be returned within 30 days.

14 days → 30 days

DOC-01 · Customer experience

Customer FAQ

Unopened purchases may be returned within 14 days with a receipt.

Edit the test records

One policy record needs id, kind:policy, topic, oldValue, newValue and note. Documents need id, kind:document, title, topics (array), content and owner. Maximum 100 records / 100 KB.

Structured source records (JSON)

[

{

"id": "POL-08",

"kind": "policy",

"topic": "refund_window",

"oldValue": "14 days",

Richcom Technology | Workflow Lab v1.0 | 19 October 2026

2

STEP 02

Find affected wording and ownership gaps

Choose Run checks. The sample produces four findings: two documents contain outdated wording, the linked till guide needs review, and that guide has no update owner.

Every finding identifies its policy and document source. Suggested replacements are drafts. Review the complete context before publishing any change.

richcom Workflow Lab

BUILT FOR BETTER DECISIONS

Interactive test environment

YOUR WORKSPACES

Overview

ApprovalTrail

RevisionMap

EventReady

Transparent by design.
Fictional sample businesses.
Local, deterministic checks. No
live LLM or external messages.

Records stay in this browser.
Use sample data only.

JUNIPER CAFÉ GROUP / DEMO WORKSPACE

RevisionMap

RM

See which documents need attention when a procedure changes.

Customer refund procedure / revision 8 · Sample scenario. Checks run locally; live AI and integrations are not connected.

Load conflict scenario

Load clean scenario

Load missing-data scenario

Run test suite

Run checks →

Checks completed. Review the evidence before accepting actions.

5
Linked documents

4
Findings awaiting review

0
Accepted actions

01 · Source records

02 · Review findings

03 · Export report

Follow every change through the document set

Each finding links back to the supplied records. Accept adds it to the action plan; dismiss requires a reason.

high priority

Outdated wording: Customer FAQ

This linked document still includes "14 days". The policy now specifies "30 days".

Evidence: POL-08 + DOC-01

Suggested action: Draft update: Unopened purchases may be returned within 30 days with a receipt. Confirm the full context before publishing.

► Read source records

STEP 03

Assign the action and inspect the report

Enter a review note, such as Customer experience to review and publish the FAQ update, then accept that finding. Open Export report to view the procedure change impact report.

The screenshot shows one accepted action and three unreviewed findings. Scroll down to export text, export JSON records and audit, or print. Source documents are not changed by accepting a finding.

YOUR WORKSPACES

Overview

ApprovalTrail

RevisionMap

EventReady

Transparent by design.

Fictional sample businesses.

Local, deterministic checks. No live LLM or external messages.

Records stay in this browser.

Use sample data only.

JUNIPER CAFÉ GROUP / DEMO WORKSPACE

RevisionMap

See which documents need attention when a procedure changes.

Customer refund procedure / revision 8 · Sample scenario. Checks run locally; live AI and integrations are not connected.

Load conflict scenario

Load clean scenario

Load missing-data scenario

Run test suite

Run checks →

5

Linked documents

3

Findings awaiting review

1

Accepted actions

01 · Source records

02 · Review findings

03 · Export report

Procedure change impact report

Draft · 3 unreviewed

REVISIONMAP / Procedure change impact report

Juniper Café Group — Customer refund procedure / revision 8

TEST ENVIRONMENT: deterministic structured checks; no live LLM or external integrations.

5 source records / 4 findings

1. Outdated wording: Customer FAQ

Status: ACCEPTED

This linked document still includes "14 days". The policy now specifies "30 days".

Evidence: POL-08, DOC-01

Next step: Draft update: Unopened purchases may be returned within 30 days with a receipt. Confirm the full context before publishing.

Review note: Customer experience to review and publish the FAQ update.

STEP 04

Run clean and missing-data scenarios

Choose Run test suite. The conflict example expects four findings, the clean example zero and the missing-policy example one. All three scenarios pass.

This prototype uses explicit topic links and text-value checks. It cannot discover every semantic dependency, read external document repositories or certify policy compliance.

richcom Workflow Lab

BUILT FOR BETTER DECISIONS

Interactive test environment

YOUR WORKSPACES

Overview

ApprovalTrail

RevisionMap

EventReady

Transparent by design.
Fictional sample businesses.
Local, deterministic checks. No
live LLM or external messages.

Records stay in this browser.
Use sample data only.

JUNIPER CAFÉ GROUP / DEMO WORKSPACE

RevisionMap

RM

See which documents need attention when a procedure changes.

Customer refund procedure / revision 8 · Sample scenario. Checks run locally; live AI and integrations are not connected.

Load conflict scenarioLoad clean scenarioLoad missing-data scenarioRun test suiteRun checks →

Scenario suite completed without changing your records.

5
Linked documents

3
Findings awaiting review

1
Accepted actions

01 · Source records02 · Review findings03 · Export report

Built-in scenario checks

Scenario	Expected	Actual	Result
Conflict scenario	4 findings	4 findings	PASS
Clean scenario	0 findings	0 findings	PASS
Missing-data scenario	1 findings	1 findings	PASS

These checks test seeded rule behaviour, not language-model accuracy or production readiness.

From test workspace to client pilot

1. Agree the real inputs

Choose one repeatable workflow and a small, permissioned sample. Define record types, ownership, retention, review steps and the output that makes the task complete.

2. Connect paid model processing

The intended model ceiling is GPT-6.1 Sol or a benchmarked equivalent. A live connection needs server-side provider credentials, an allowed-model list and usage caps. No API key belongs in this browser demo.

3. Evaluate against a held-out test set

Test correct, conflicting, incomplete and unusual examples. Measure missed issues, false positives, evidence accuracy, total latency and cost per completed case. Seeded rule tests are only a starting point.

4. Keep actions reviewable

Drafts must remain distinguishable from approved decisions. Add access controls, shared records and an auditable approval step before connecting email, document publishing or supplier actions.

5. Demonstrate honestly

Use fictional records for public demonstrations. Explain which features work now and which need integration. Do not present a local rule result as a language-model result.